

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/070,386	Applicant(s) WATANABE ET AL.	
	Examiner Terry A. McKelvey	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) Terry A. McKelvey.

(2) Jay Williams.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: After Non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: afternoon

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
potential rejections under 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph

Claims discussed:
1-22

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


TERRY MCKELVEY
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant agreed to fax a set of amended claims which the examiner agreed to enter as a part of the examiner's amendment which would place the application in condition for allowance. The applicant did so; the amendment to the claims is a part of the instant action to which this interview summary is attached and the faxed Remarks are included as an attachment to this interview summary.